

FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A. Attorneys At Law

1100 International Centre 900 Second Avenue South Minnespolls, MN 55402-3397 (612) 347-7000 FAX (612) 347-7077

FAX COVER SHEET

FAX NUMBER:

703-305-3014

Verified by:

PMG

DATE:

October 19, 1994

Examiner Mike Nesbit

FROM: Philip M. Goldman

COMPANY: Office of Patents and Trademarks

DIRECT DIAL NUMBER: 612-347-7088

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES (INCLUDING THIS PAGE): 8

MESSAGE: PLEASE DELIVER TO EXAMINER MIKE NESBIT

I am enclosing a draft Summary of our discussion yesterday. Please let me know if you would like to have me formally file it.

> If you do not receive all pages or are experiencing other problems in transmission, please cull (612) 347-7135. Thank you.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The document(s) accompanying this fax contain confidential information which is legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of the relecopled information except its direct delivery to the intended recipient named above is strictly prohibited. If you have received this fax in error, please notify us immediately by telephone to arrange for return of the original documents to us.

-19867.1- Carol/4026

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:)
Applicant: Karel Newman, et al. Serial No.: 08/070,099 Filed: 05/28/93) Art Unit 1806) Atty. Docket No. 19867.1.0
For: IMMUNOASSAYS FOR DETERMINING VITAMIN B12 AND REAGENTS AND KITS THEREFOR) DRAFT))))
Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231	

AMENDMENT

This Amendment is filed in response to the Office Action mailed April 4, 1994, the period for response to which has been extended to August 4, 1994 by the accompanying Request for Extension of Time.

Remarks

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's attention to the numbering of the claims. Upon correction, claims 1 through 10 are pending in the application.

The rejection under Section 112 is respectfully traversed. It appears that a recurring theme running through this, as well as the remaining rejections set forth in the Office Action,